

Jun 21, 2021

SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

KAREN ELAINE GARD,

Plaintiff,

v.

GREYHOUND LINES, INC.,

Defendant.

NO. 4:21-cv-05070-SAB

**ORDER GRANTING
UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
REMAND**

Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Remand, ECF No. 6. The motion was heard without oral argument.

The parties agree that the amount in controversy in this matter does not exceed \$75,000. *See* ECF No. 7 at 1. To remove a case to federal court based on diversity jurisdiction, the removing party must demonstrate that (1) the parties are completely diverse; and (2) the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. 28 U.S.C. § 1332; *Matheson v. Progressive Speciality Ins. Co.*, 319 F.3d 1089, 1090 (9th Cir. 2003). Because the amount in controversy does not exceed \$75,000, the Court finds it lacks subject matter jurisdiction and remands the above-captioned case to state court.

Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED:**

1. Plaintiff's Motion to Remand, ECF No. 6, is **GRANTED**.
2. All pending motions are denied as moot.

ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION TO REMAND *1

